Abstract: Taking its cue from Christopher Breu and Elizabeth A. Hatmaker’s rethinking of noir affect as a descriptor of detective fiction, this paper contributes to the discussion of South African writer Lauren Beukes’s Zoo City as a narrative that both harnesses and fluidifies the generic conventions of the crime thriller. Pondering Beukes’s claim that her story may become the site for the transmutation or transmission of ethically adjusted emotion, the paper resorts to Lauren Berlant’s thoughts on detective fiction as a genre condensing the “cruel optimism” of the ordinary, rather than the evental, present to explore the clues of affectional attunement in Lauren Beukes’s postapartheid novel.
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You try to transmute the emotion into a story that will make other people care.

(Lauren Beukes)

A cclaimed as one of the postmillennial novels that innovatively tap into the recent affective turn in literature,¹ Zoo City (2010) by Lauren Beukes mobilises the transnational tropes of today’s proliferating world crime fiction² into a self-styled “muti noir” mode that summons a distinctively South-African engagement with the sociopolitical significance of crime, with offshoots that loom large in a globalised context. Bending internal genre distinctions between the crime thriller, the who-dunit, and detective fiction,³ the category of muti noir signals the author’s openness to experiment with a dynamic crossover of various other literary forms⁴ and has since been embraced in scholarship as a blanket term for political thrillers that stretch and flex their generic boundaries.⁵ Beukes discussed her strand of capitalist noir⁶ in a 2011 interview in which she also alluded to the potential of her speculative cybercrime fiction to give shape to “structures of feeling”⁷ that are attuned to, but can also radically reimagine, the state of a nation whose postapartheid trajectory has been marked,
as Rita Barnard states, by “many loops and twists,” “stasis and acceleration,” “paralysis and hope.”

While the novel had recently been awarded the 2011 Arthur C. Clarke Award and nominated for several other international prizes, Beukes’s reluctance in the interview quoted above to be niched as a science fiction or fantasy writer betokened her view on the mutability of literary forms that can grant perspective on pressing issues largely related to living in a twenty-first surveillance society still plagued by the legacy of apartheid and its “simmering” spectres, such as political repression, anti-democratic corporatist practices, media manipulation, xenophobic violence, and corruption. In light of the “threat of crime that’s always lurking in the background in everyone’s lives,” as a present-day all-too-ordinary reflex of apartheid’s necropolitics, which summed in “government assassination squads and disappearances and freedom fighters committing their own atrocities,” Beukes re-moulds the parameters of detective fiction to muster the representational ambitus of a multigeneric anarchetype in ways that suggest that beyond the ratiocinative logic driving the detective narrative towards a denouement in which the perpetrator’s identity is unveiled, muti noir is seen to be capable of shaping a reading practice that activates her audiences’ cognitive-affective immersion in issues she feels strongly about.

With its poignant inquiries into the affective registers of South Africa’s post-apartheid project to overcome divisionism and achieve reconciliation, allowing the nation “to coalesce, unified by empathy and ubuntu, and heal together, curtailing the devastating trauma of history,” Zoo City sieves its appraisal of the messy dynamics of national hope and disillusionment through the postmemorial lens of Zinzi Lelethu December, an aposymbiot, i.e. a human who has been animalled for her inadvertent involvement in her brother Thando’s murder, and whose emotional stasis echoes the precarity of social-bonding affect in communities that are becoming mired in the “world-homogenising” structures of technocapitalism, articulated on “relations of hypervigilance, unreliable agency, and dissipated subjectivity.” In Zoo City, the wealthy residential areas of the business elites are separated from enclaves of condemned buildings housing destitute people, paperless migrants, and individuals who, like Zinzi, have a criminal past. Documented by film makers and journalists as the Zoo Plague and, later, theorised by psychologists and criminologists as the AAF or Acquired Aposymbiotic Familiarism syndrome, the animalling pandemic is a planetary postanthropocentric mutation affecting murderers since the 1980s by cathecting these presumably affectless individuals to an animal that is not only fully sentient, but also displays augmented empathy towards its human companion. While bestiary-like moralising analogies between animals and the temperamental dispositions of these humans are largely invalidated by the haphazard distribution of penguins to Afghan warlords, or Maltese hounds to gangsters, the Sloth assigned to Zinzi carries the connotation of the slow affectual labour she must do both to manage her personal trauma and to reciprocate empathy. If the emotion of empathy is, as Sara Ahmed shows, a form of orienting oneself towards the other within
networks of subject-object sociality, Zinzi realises that nourishing the emotional connections of such human-animal collectives is a way not just to avoid self-annihilation in the apocalyptic rift known as the Undertow or “shadow-self absorption,” but to function within an “ethical framework” in which “Aposymbiot interaction” can model interhuman relations.

Drawing on South African crime fiction’s commitment to investigating questions of social and political inequity, this “noirish slum tale filled with African magic” weighs the ethics of relationality from the viewpoint of a marginalised individual who laterally experiences the burden of guilt and shame for the past, as well as the “cruel optimism,” in Lauren Berlant’s words, of pursuing the ideal of building the nation on empathetic foundations in a fraying twenty-first century “affective environment” in which precarious “bodies and lives are saturated by capitalist forces and rhythms.” This is also indicated by Beukes’s Borgesian-style reflections on the potential of her noir form to become a template for the production of an affective imagination premised on the ethics of empathy, as suggested in the statement that is used as a motto to this study: “You try to transmute the emotion into a story that will make other people care.”

In light of Beukes’s claim that her story may become the site for the “transmutation” of ethically inflected emotion, it is useful to consider Christopher Breu and Elizabeth A. Hatmaker’s recent rethinking of noir affect as a descriptor of contemporary thriller narratives. Exploring how the “fractiousness, divisiveness, conflict, and dissension” deemed to saturate such texts binds to the prospect of resurgent empathy for the other, Breu and Hatmaker speak about the resurgence of noir in terms of a volley of resolutely negative affects “centred on rage (including murderous rage), loss, sadness, shame, guilt, regret, anxiety, humiliation, resentment, resistance and refusal.” What is especially relevant to Beukes’s own version of muti noir, is what Breu and Hatmaker call a conversion of negative into positive affect, since “noir works in an inverse way to both model empathy with the down-trodden, excluded, and abandoned, and demand that we engage and value the negativity of their affects.” This resonates with Beukes’s insistence, in an interview with Caitlin E. Stobie, that “empathy is central to my work, and compassion, and kindness.” By catalysing and restructuring the patterns of affective response that the thriller – as a genre inherently predisposed to solicit “intense emotional fusion” from “authorial audiences” and to effectively catalyse emotional connections with the imperilled protagonist – has elicited in readers since its inception, Beukes resorts to what Keen describes as “strategic empathising” with the protagonist of her narrative.

Stressing the resurgence of segregation practices likely to widen South Africa’s socio-economic gap, the spread of cybercrime networks that eschew the policing forces, as well as the victimisation of labourers, refugees and immigrants from different continental regions, Zoo City subtends the conventions of the detective mode to explore how, in becoming saturated with ordinarily distributed negative affects, the history-imbued present risks remaining trapped in what Berlant labels as cruel optimism’s “affectively stunning double bind: a binding to fantasies that block
the satisfactions they offer, and a binding to the promise of optimism as such that the fantasies have come to represent.”

For individuals caught up in the protracted present of capitalist desire, a present that flattens the multi-layered memorial past and collapses the teleological arc of the future, affect, Berlant shows, traverses subjectivity without becoming definitively stuck in other subjects or objects, and ultimately shapes an impermanent horizon of attachments that are never direct, reciprocal, and enduring, but always convoluted, intransitive, and provisional. Zinzi December herself is tethered to the present in ways that suggest that neither her past, condensing a story of affluence, hipster extravagance, transcontinental travel, consumerist excess, and reckless collusion with the drug underworld, nor her future, as an ex-convict leaving the country without permission “in the wake of a multiple homicide/serial killer investigation,” are likely to bolster the fantasy of a self-shaping liberal subjectivity, capable of managing its own destiny in pursuit of either redemption or fulfilment.

While genuine episodes of interhuman empathy punctuate Zinzi’s drift into a spiral of waning affect, anomic, and emotional abandon, if one thinks of Thando’s sacrificial gesture to protect her from the druglords or her lover Benoit Bocanga’s attempts to save her from the crime networks at the cost of his near death, she appears to be settling in the attritional numbness of the everyday, as she engages in transacting hope – of enrichment, charitable compassion, and humanitarian altruism – to countless victims across the world whom she contacts under different aliases via the internet. Zinzi’s involvement in the so-known 419 Nigerian scam – whose depiction is carefully documented, as Beukes acknowledges, based on confessions from both scammers and victims she interviewed as a journalist – brings the story close to the real crime genre, considering that this deception tactic, prohibited under section 419 of the Nigerian legal code, is also listed alongside other types of commercial crime, such as money laundering, banking-related fraud, and intellectual property infringement, on the website of the South African Police Service.

Hope, Adam Potkay reminds us in his cultural history of this future-oriented passion/emotion, is a “double-edged concept”: as an Abrahamic theological virtue, it may be valued for its potential to counteract its contrary emotions of sinful fear and despair, while as a political motive, it tends to be the preserve of liberal progressive faith in a “fuzzy” conglomerate of secularised ideals, such as social justice and equality. At the same time, Potkay states, despite its overall “positive connotations,” there is a parallel strand of thought that sees hope as a passion that is as “at best problematic, something in need of regulation and restraint if not extirpation.” Through this alternative lens, honed from classical antiquity through the Enlightenment, hope appears suspect because its presumed link to an ethos of betterment and selfless benefaction is diluted by its intransitiveness, since hope belongs to the one who nourishes it, rather than to its referential others, by its deceptiveness, since it is capable of “blurring our perception of situations and likely outcomes” and of compromising our rational agency, and by its inherent tardiness, since it “blinds us to the enjoyment of the present.”
Concluding this “case against hope,” Potkay stresses that its horizon is receding in the contemporary, post-atrocity imagination, so much so that it is consistently attenuated by what it was imagined to serve as an antidote for, fear: thus, “the hope for more life, a better or perfected condition of the individual, the nation, or of the species, in time or eternity” enhances, in effect, our susceptibility “to the negative future-oriented emotion of fear, as well as the negative present-oriented emotion of sorrow or disappointment.”

Impersonating a multifaceted dispenser of hope to the gullible customers she lures via email, Zinzi – who takes some pride in reaching the level of “a master builder in the current affairs sympathy scam” – commodifies an anaesthetic emotion that, on the one hand, saturates the sensorium with antidotes to the disquieting anxieties of the everyday, and, on the other hand, monetises empathy in a transnational economy of simulated affect that many people all too willingly pursue:

There are 2,581 replies waiting. Not a bad hit rate out of the 49,812 that I sent out on Monday, not including the tens of thousands that bounced off spam filters. There are 1,906 “out of office” replies, which at least marks the email addresses as active, 14 irritated missives that range from “fuck you, scamfucks” to “pull the other one”. Add 292 kanji variations, 137 in French, 102 in German, 64 in Arabic, 48 in Spanish and 12 in Urdu, all of which I’ll plug into my translation software later. This leaves six potentials, two responding with cautious interest and the rest with abject confusion. I forward them all on to Vuyo, who is my catcherman. If people would just read the damn email properly, they would have responded to him directly.

Posing as an endless series of vulnerable, yet lucrative targets of compassion – from well-off Chechnyan refugees escaping Russian ethnic cleansing to contrite Somali pirates eager to donate their ransom fortunes in exchange for absolution – Zinzi becomes a nodal point in a rhizomatic redistribution of affect that annoys, vexes, confuses, lures, tricks or simply bounces off her addressers, yet fails to trigger either a tidal wave of retributive vengeance or the eradication of the email missive fraud even when she eventually exposes the “ugly little fiction” of the hustling syndicate. Partly to counter the affective impasse she experiences because of her parents’ disavowal of her manipulative emotional schemes, partly to numb uncomfortably “bad feelings” like shame or melancholic guilt, and partly to stay alive by paying off her debts to the drug dealing bands, Zinzi agrees to work for the “Company” that sells simulacra of hope. In a world of growing social, political, and economic precarity, she for a while remains instrumental to enforcing cruel optimism’s twofold predicament, which leashes her victims to defeatist fantasies of quick enrichment and charitable service to fellow distressed humans, while also hindering their access to the objects of those illusory aspirations. As Vuyo, her dealer, reminds her in a Skype conversation, Zinzi can spin myriad yarns to embolden the flat affects of her correspondents into simulated life: “UR practically a pro. Ur dealer told us about
all the stories u came with, crying about ur mama with cancer + ur dead granny + being mugged just when u were coming to pay for ur coke.”

However, while enabling hope-oriented affect to arise between subjects, not only do her con narratives amass into a landfill of sedimental resentment, disappointment, and estrangement: they also prime their readers for a breakdown of empathy, care and compassion, distancing even further the prospect of “ethical soci-ality.”

Selling hope, with its profoundly unsettling effect on the very constitution of empathetically entangled communities, is, in effect, related to the craft of storytell-ing. In a self-reflexive aside to the implied reader, the narrator confidently assumes that “people want to believe: you just have to feed them plausible constructs.”

Even if Zinzi’s highly elaborate tales could be seen to draw transcontinental awareness to issues that may still be en-emic to postcolonial areas, such as child labour, internecine conflict, or the refuge-ee crisis, they also do epistemic violence to the real victims of necropolitical con-trol. Such is the case of Eloria Bangana, a persona Zinzi adopts in one of her email scams. Entitled “A message in a bottle,” the email is presumably sent by a female Con-golese teenager whose survival in an or-phanage besieged by rebel factions rests on swerving prostitution and “choos[ing] the mines, because I can crawl into tight spac-es with my little bucket for sifting and my spade, although mostly I use my fingers. Sometimes my fingers get cracked and bleed from scratching in the dirt.” Poten-tially garnering emotional shock, shame, guilt and dismay from Western audiences, the image of the bleeding fingers digging in the mud for the coltan that will then become an integral component of their cell phone, computer and video technologies, invites assessment – as Sara Ahmed shows in her work on the sociality of emotion – of empathy as an orientational stance towards an object; in other words, emotion may engender cognition, becoming “a way of apprehending the world.”

Despite the stuplime irritation some readers who are aware of the cultural ref-erences peppering Zinzi’s email may ex-perience, the topography of mines – the dumping wastelands in the desolate land-scape, and even the open shafts of the di-lapidated apartment blocks forming Zoo City’s urbanscape – is important because it innervates the spatial imaginary of the novel, creating an atmospheric sensorium that perturbs the senses and steers trans-versal movements of affect. The opening scene of the novel in which “morning light the sulphur colour of the mine dumps seeps across Johannesburg’s skyline” and signals Zinzi’s blurred affectual compass is reiterated with different inflections on two occasions. In the first scene, there is a certain sense of landfill sublimity hovering across the skyline, as she wrests a moment of intimacy with Benoît and orients her pleasurable disposition towards “the dust in the air that makes the Highveld sun-sets so spectacular,” with “the fine yellow mineral deposits kicked up from the mine dumps” and “the carbon-dioxide choke of the traffic.” In the second episode, follow-ing Benoit’s discovery of the email fraud scheme, the circulation of affect follows a reverse line, from the dumping ground not just to the discarded body of a scorched unidentified victim she detects nearby, but also to Zinzi herself. This time, “the mine dumps are sulphur-coloured artificial hills,
laid waste by the ravages of weather and reprocessing, shored up with scrubby grass and eucalyptus trees. Ugly valleys have been gouged out and trucked away by the ton to sift out the last scraps of gold the mining companies missed the first time round. Maybe it’s appropriate that eGoli, place of gold, should be self-cannibalising.”

The mine dump, relic of a carelessly exploitative colonial project, is a fit trope for the remainder of Johannesburg’s compressed history as a city founded on the excitement generated by the late Victorian golddrush, as well as on the dull cycles of affectual attrition and environmental exhaustion set into motion by the “slow death” drive of capitalism.

Even the grand narrative of hope entrenched in the philosophy of ubuntu, which was projected by the late-twentieth century builders of the South African nation as inextricably tied to the “experience of empathy for the other,” is here corroded into an affectual correlative of the “attrition of life” in the ground mill of globalisation processes. It is significant that the scene of such an empathy-pandering transaction, starring Zinzi qua Frances, “demure African princess” allegedly abducted by rebels, then placed in a Côte d’Ivoire refugee camp, but now “humble and desperate to reclaim her throne,” Vuyo, acting the part of the financial director of the Bank of Accra, and the Barbers, their naïve American interlocutors, occurs in the Rand Club, which is a relic of Johannesburg’s Wild West days, when it was frequented by Cecil John Rhodes and other colonial slum-lords who would sit around divvy ing up diamond fields and deciding on the fate of empires. […] The patrons pushing the boundaries of their liquid lunch-hour have the same aura of clingy colonial nostalgia as the venue, with its chandeliers and gilded railings, caricatures of famous members, mounted buck-heads and faded oil paintings of fox hunts.

Compacting the violent history of the colony, the scene captures Zinzi’s postmemorial investment in the social, economic, and political contingencies of a present that risks pushing into oblivion and nostalgic numbness the atrociousness of the imperial and apartheid regimes. However, it is only when her own collusion in the perpetuation of this legacy of epistemic violence is unmasked by Benoît that her empathet(h)ic emotion is unleashed: “In my chest, the poison flower bursts open, an explosion of burning seeds. I imagine Mr and Mrs Barber experienced something similar whenever they finally realised that the bearer bonds were forged. It is the death of hope.”

Marking the structural divide of the narrative into its two Parts, this eruption of emotional intensity as a mode of bonding with the other also balances the contrast between cybercriminal and murder-investigating sleuth, the two roles Zinzi performs in Beukes’s crime story, which stages affective relays between the protagonist as dis-affected detective and the affect-laden objectual world that bears witness to manifold crimes, as well as between the protagonist as apathetic, traumatised culprit and the empathetic animal, locked as they are in a symbiotic relation.

As stated in her 2011 interview, Beukes draws the setting of her muti noir – the loop of “right now” in which Johannesburg
seems to be stuck – as an accrual of technological futurity and spiritual ancestrality. In the purlieus of Zoo City, the affective precarity of the present is evidenced by the jarring juxtaposition of the virtual and the material: to give just one example, despite Jo’burg’s citizens having access to the latest cybertechnologies, there is still widespread belief in muti, the miraculous powers of indigenous medicine. As Zinzi observes, traditional healers like “nyangas and sangomas and faith healers” advertise “their services on posters stuck up on telephone poles and walls” and use their cell phones to contact the spirits.

Navigating a diegetic near future whose proximity to the novel’s year of publication reinforces the author’s contention that the plot could well be staged in the present-day derelict areas of South Africa’s capital city, Beukes’s speculative plot, featuring an incrementally activated intuitionist detective, endorses Berlant’s view of the present as a time of stagnant crisis, which forecloses the prospect of its fluid inscription in the temporal continuum connecting the sedimented past to a future pregnant with possibility. Zinzi, the Former-Life journalist who is now endowed with a paranormal skill of her own, namely a talent for finding lost things, undergoes a situational shift when she starts conducting her private investigation into a sequence of muti murders that place her own survival under threat. These murders – orchestrated by a music magnate, Odysseus Huron, who wishes to escape indictment for another crime he committed in his earlier life – target innocents such as vagrants, transgender dancers, and even his teenage proteges, pop prodigies onto whom he would like to transfer his shavi, as the crocodile’s very existence threatens to being him disrepute and to disrupt his enmeshment in his capitalocentric world.

Sensitised to the vulnerability of Huron’s victims, Zinzi commits to performing the labour of justice. However, to accomplish that task and to survive Huron’s deceit, she would have to sidestep her complacent immersion in ordinary affectual attrition and assume her agential role in setting things aright in a damaged world of human relations. What poses a problem for Zinzi is how to unlock her responsiveness to the suffering of other humans, considering that her mashavi, or special power, fosters the circulation of affect between things but bars it from materialising into emotion for other living beings:

The problem with my particular gift, curse, call it what you like, is that everybody’s lost something. Stepping out in public is like walking into a tangle of cat’s cradles, like someone dished out balls of string at the lunatic asylum and instructed the inmates to tie everything to everything else. On some people, the lost strings are cobwebs, inconsequential wisps that might blow away at any moment. On others, it’s like they’re dragging steel cables.

For Zinzi, affect is mediated by the networked intensities of the affectual traces of other humans’ tangle with the objectual world. From such a tangential position, it becomes difficult to take on a stance of agential centrality and operate as the determining force that turns evil into good and finds order in the chaotic, disruptive scenarios concocted by Huron to deflect
guilt, while the police forces, represented by the ineffectual Inspector Tshabalala, insistently project culpability onto Zinzi, as an improperly disciplined – because she is animalled – repeat offender. In her own words, “the problem with being mashavi is that it’s not so much a job as a vocation. You don’t get to choose the ghosts that attach themselves to you. Or the things they bring with them.”66 As Berlant explains, in contemporaneity one can no longer envisage “the subject as sovereign agent of history,” mounting herculean defences against the biopolitical forces stacked against the fulfilment of its “fantasies of good life.”67 Instead, in the contemporary “affectsphere,” the post-Enlightenment model of sovereign subjectivity, exercising agential and epistemological control, gives way to a model of “lateral agency,” or “agency without intention,” “a mode of coasting consciousness within the ordinary that helps people survive the stress on their sensorium.”68 Interventions, insurrections, or any deliberate endeavours to rebut the paralytic logic of capitalist normativity implode in “affective adjustment to material that mediates the ongoing present across the recent, the now, and the next.”69 In other words, instead of a temporality of events, whose impact is marked by full-blown emotions that can activate “ethical sociality,” the precarious present enfolds serially distributed situations, generating minimal emotional perturbation and calibrating toned-down reactions, which pertain to the register of the “ordinary, forgettable, charming, boring, inconsequential, or subtle.”70

The novel’s heterochronic slant, which forefronts the onset of the global animalling phenomenon as a paradigmatic shift overshadowing the epochal end of apartheid in South Africa in the early 1990s, is, as Munro shows, a sign of Beukes’s concern with the present as a time of overlapping crises, some triggered by “moments of epochal change,” others, like environmental degradation or enforced migrancy, unfolding their own slow temporalities.71 It also points to the imploding confines of public and private time, which renders individuals and communities trapped in a paralytic matrix of irresolvable trauma, whose magnitude is dispersed into ordinary disaffection and for which new modes of affectional resolution need to be detected.

If, as argued by Berlant, “all genres are distinguished by the affective contract they promise,”72 Beukes’s muti noir is predicated on “transacting affective exchanges” that may not have the potential to perturb the larger order of things, but can foreshadow a horizon of sociality in which “one lives singularly but in a shared way too.”73 To escape the drawn-out duration of the present-as-situation immersed in the “steady hum of livable crisis ordinari-ness,”74 Zinzi needs to articulate a different sense of selfhood, one that is not immune, but open to vulnerability. Vulnerability – along with uncertainty, indeterminacy, and insecurity – becomes the pivot around which the sleuth can build up her steadfast commitment to carrying through her unconventional investigation despite her cynical dismissal by the official investigators’ rigid code of ethics and to risk her life in the scene of carnage where Huron is devoured by his alienated crocodile. It is what enables her deft eschewal of the surveillance apparatus and her capacity to envision the victims’ backstories, her intimate
knowledge of the material traces of crime and her resurgent openness to interhuman emotion, her contrition for past and present wrongdoings and her emergent sense of responsibility and accountability.

As an animalled Apo, Zinzi carries the stigma of visible otherness, in a world in which deviations from normativity are still subjected to the regulatory biopolitical apparatus. Her hyphenated subjectivity is distributed between herself, as the bearer of an intuitionism’s intelligence, and Sloth, who is at one point spotted “curl[ing] up in my lap like my own personal scarlet letter,” yet whose gestural reactions in different situational predicaments add to her emotionally depleted reactivity. Practised on Sloth, the creature whose emotions she cannot sense, but whose facial expressions and bodily gestures and she can intuitive-ly discern, empathy as the effect of shared vulnerability with other people becomes the focus of a seminal episode in the story involving the lost family of Benoît, who photographed every step of his passage from Kinshasa to Joburg, recording the sites of suffering that outline the framework of unbearable ordinariness for hoards of pan-African or global refugees, undocumented travellers whose ordeals remain unacknowledged in the files of official archives.

In this episode, Benoît’s own private archive of what Susan Sontag calls the “photography of atrocity” is disclosed to reveal also “a mix of photos and computer print-outs of photographs, already faded, the paper worn soft with handling and the rigours of cross-continental travel. Benoît, a woman and three children aged two to seven at a guess, posing formally, unsmiling in front of a low wall. Their features are indistinct. Washed out. They already look like ghosts.” Musteri a reaction that “that yanks my heart into my stomach,” the images of Celvie, Armand, Ginelle, and Celestin do not convey a sensation-alist depiction of suffering and, therefore, are not susceptible “to arouse facile compassion and identification.” While their spectrality alludes not to the familiarity of self-sameness, but to the necessary recognition of their difference, Zinzi’s intuitive radar detects an altogether different kind of relationality that she has an ethical duty to safeguard. This is explained in one of the numerous paratexts that are interspersed in Zinzi’s account, Bibliozoologika: An Etymology of Animalled Terms, as follows: The mashave are spirits of foreigners, or of wanderers who died far away from their families and clans and did not receive a proper burial. Owing to this, they were never “called home”, but continued to roam restlessly through the bush. Homeless spirits like these are feared because they are always on the watch for a living host in whom to reside; as the spirit of a wanderer cannot go back to the land of his ancestors, it seeks the body of one who is willing to harbour him.

What unites the lost members of Benoît’s family – for whose rescue Zinzi marshals a sense of intentional agential sovereignty on the trans-African journey she undertakes at the end of the narrative – is the condition of vulnerability to which she also willingly concedes as a stateless runaway, for, as she anticipates her encoun-ter of “Celvie. Armand. Ginelle. Celestin,” it is becoming clearer that this is “going to
be the best thing I’ve done with my miserable life. And after that? Maybe I’ll get lost for a while.”

In *Zoo City* the investigative clues left for the readers to pick up, match, distinguish, and comprehend exceed the framework of “curiosity, suspense, and surprise,” defined by Suzanne Keen as the “core affects of narrativity” keeping readers immersed in the transactional plots of detective fiction, and inviting different degrees of empathetic identification with characters that share “our common human experiences, feelings, hopes, and vulnerabilities.” As Nancy Armstrong contends in her survey of the contemporary novel’s extension of “the readership’s sensorium beyond the limits of sympathetic identification,” the promissory empathetic impact of *Zoo City*’s noir affect rests not so much, or not only, on detecting “human commonalities,” as on modulating affectual orientations towards alterity’s singularities.
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